

Scott E. Thomas, Esq.
Dickstein Shapiro LLP
1825 Eye Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20006-5403

DEC 2 2009

RE: MUR 6230

Wynn for Congress

Dear Mr. Thomas:

On August 7, 2009, the Federal Election Commission (the "Commission") notified your client, Wynn for Congress and Curt Clifton in his official capacity as treasurer, that in the normal course of carrying out its supervisory responsibilities it ascertained information suggesting that your client violated the Federal Election Campaign Act of 1971, as amended (the "Act") and the matter was referred to the Office of the General Counsel for possible enforcement action. The Commission also provided your client with a copy of the referral.

After reviewing the referral and your client's response, the Commission on November 17, 2009, found reason to believe that Wynn for Congress and Curt Clifton in his official capacity as treasurer, violated 2 U.S.C. § 441a(f), 11 C.F.R. §§ 102.9(e)(3), 110.1(b)(3)(i) and 110.2(b)(3)(i), provisions of the Act and the Commission's regulations. Enclosed is the Factual and Legal Analysis that sets forth the basis for the Commission's determination.

We have also enclosed a brief description of the Commission's procedures for handling possible violations of the Act. In addition, please note that you have a legal obligation to preserve all documents, records and materials relating to this matter until such time as you are notified that the Commission has closed its file in this matter. See 18 U.S.C. § 1519. In the meantime, this matter will remain confidential in accordance with 2 U.S.C. §§ 437g(a)(4)(B) and 437g(a)(12)(A), unless you notify the Commission in writing that you wish the investigation to be made public.

Mr. Scott Thomas, Esq. Page 2	
We look forward to your response.	_

On behalf of the Commission,

Steven T. Walther Chairman

Enclosures
Factual and Legal Analysis
Procedures

cc: Albert Wynn

1 FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION 2 3 **FACTUAL AND LEGAL ANALYSIS** 4 5 6 7 **RESPONDENTS:** Wynn for Congress and Curt Clifton. **MUR 6230** 8 in his official capacity as treasurer 9 10 11 L INTRODUCTION 12 This matter was generated based on information ascertained by the Federal Election 13 Commission ("the Commission") in the normal course of carrying out its supervisory 14 responsibilities. See 2 U.S.C. § 437g(a)(2). Wynn for Congress and Curt Clifton, in his official capacity as treasurer. ("the Committee"), the principal campaign committee of Albert Wynn. 15 failed to refund \$115,100 in apparent excessive contributions for the 2008 general election. After 16 17 serving eight terms in the U.S. House of Representatives, Representative Albert Wynn lost the 18 Democratic Primary for Maryland's Fourth Congressional District on February 12, 2008. The 19 Committee accepted \$115,100 in general election contributions from individuals and 20 multicandidate committees that had already contributed the maximum amount allowable for the 21 2008 primary election, which became excessive as of the date Wynn lost the primary. 22 As described in more detail below, the Commission finds reason to believe Wynn for 23 Congress, and Curt Clifton, in his official capacity as treasurer, violated 2 U.S.C. § 441a(f) by 24 knowingly accepting \$115,100 in excessive contributions, and 11 C.F.R. §§ 102.9(e)(3), 110.1(b)(3)(i), and 110.2(b)(3)(i) by failing to return or refund the excessive contributions. 25

Gregory M. Holloway resigned as treasurer of the Committee on June 18, 2008. Following his resignation, the Committee filed an amended Statement of Organization on October 15, 2008, naming Curt Clifton as treasurer.

MUR 6230 Factual and Legal Analysis Wynn for Congress Page 2 of 6

II. <u>FACTUAL AND LEGAL ANALYSIS</u>

_	
2 3	a. Facts
4	On May 20, 2008, RAD sent the Committee a Request for Additional Information
5	("RFAI") referencing the Committee's 2008 April Quarterly Report, filed with the Commission
6	on April 15, 2008, following Wynn's loss in the primary election. The RFAI notified the
7	Committee that the contributions it accepted for the general election before the primary election
8	had to be either refunded, or redesignated to the primary election if there were net debts
9	outstanding, since Wynn was not participating in the general election.
10	Representatives of the Committee communicated with the RAD analyst multiple times
11	from June through October 2008 regarding the redesignation of general election contributions,
12	and making appropriate changes to the 2008 April Quarterly Report, among other things. The
13	Committee explained that it was having problems obtaining relevant records following the
14	resignation of its treasurer, and that the Committee would ultimately like to terminate.
15	On October 31, 2008, the Committee submitted a written response to the RFAI that stated
16	the Committee had used general election contributions to pay for primary election costs because
17	it did not segregate its general election contributions from its primary election contributions.
18	Therefore, the response stated, the Committee could not return the general election contributions
19	because they were no longer available, but that it would use its best efforts to raise funds
20	necessary to reimburse the general election contributors.
21	The Committee filed its Amended 2008 April Quarterly Report on November 3, 2008,
22	disclosing \$115,100 in general election contributions from twenty-two individuals and twenty-
23	four political action committees. All of the general election contributors had already contributed

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

question.

MUR 6230
Factual and Legal Analysis
Wynn for Congress
Page 3 of 6

the maximum amount allowable for the primary election. See Wynn for Congress FEC

2 Disclosure Reports.

The Committee has not taken any corrective actions to remedy general election

contributions. On November 21, 2008, the RAD analyst told a representative of the Committee

that the Committee may be referred for additional action by the Commission. On its Amended

Termination Report, covering the period of April 1 through June 30, 2008; Amended 2008

October Quarterly Report; 2008 30 Day Post-General Report; 2008 Year End Report; 2009 April

Quarterly Report and 2009 July Quarterly Report; the Committee disclosed less than \$5,000 in

cash on hand and did not disclose any returns or refunds of the general election contributions in

The Committee's response to the pre-reason to believe notification letter acknowledges that it used general election contributions to pay for primary election related expenses, and that it does not have funds available to refund general election contributions. It states that since Wynn had never faced a serious primary challenger in past elections, the Committee's treasurer at the time was unfamiliar with the Commission's regulations regarding the use of general election funds for primary election expenses.

b. Analysis

The Committee accepted \$115,100 in contributions from individuals and multicandidate political action committees ("PACs") that were designated for the 2008 general election. Under the Federal Election Campaign Act of 1971, as amended ("the Act"), an individual may not make a contribution to a candidate in excess of the limits at 2 U.S.C. § 441a(a)(1)(A) and 11 C.F.R. § 110.1(b)(1), set at \$2,300 per election during the 2008 election cycle, and multicandidate political action committees may not make contributions in excess of \$5,000 per

MUR 6230 Factual and Legal Analysis Wynn for Congress Page 4 of 6

- election. See 2 U.S.C. § 441a(a)(2)(A). Candidates and political committees are prohibited from
- 2 knowingly accepting contributions in excess of the limitations in section 441a. See 2 U.S.C.
- 3 § 441a(f). A primary election, general election, runoff election, and special election are all
- 4 considered an "election" under the Act, see 2 U.S.C. § 431(1)(A); 11 C.F.R. § 100.2, and
- 5 contribution limits are applied separately with respect to each election. See 11 C.F.R. § 110.1(j).
- 6 "If a candidate fails to qualify for the general election, any contributions designated for the
- 7 general election that have been received from contributors who have already reached their
- 8 contribution limit for the primary election would exceed FECA's contribution limits." AO 2007-
- 9 03 (Obama) at 3.
- The Commission's regulations permit a committee to accept contributions for the general election, prior to the primary election, if the committee employs an acceptable accounting method to distinguish between primary and general election contributions. 11 C.F.R.
- 13 § 102.9(e)(1). An authorized committee's records must demonstrate that prior to the primary
- 14 election, the committee's recorded cash on hand was at all times equal to or in excess of the sum
- 15 of general election contributions received less the sum of general election disbursements made.
- 16 11 C.F.R. § 102.9(e)(2). If, however, the candidate loses the primary election and does not
- 17 otherwise run in the general election, the committee must, within 60 days: (1) refund the
- 18 contributions designated for the general election; (2) redesignate such contributions in
- accordance with 11 C.F.R. §§ 110.1(b)(5) or 110.2(b)(5); or (3) reattribute such contributions in
- 20 accordance with 11 C.F.R. § 110.1(k)(3). See 11 C.F.R. §§ 102.9(e)(3), 110.1(b)(3)(i),
- 21 110.2(b)(3)(i).
- 22 Because a committee does not have actual notice of the need to obtain redesignations
- 23 until the results of the primary are known, if a candidate loses the primary election but has

MUR 6230
Pactual and Legal Analysis
Wynn for Congress
Page 5 of 6

1 accepted a contribution designated for the general election before the primary, the committee has 2 60 days from the date of the primary election to refund, redesignate, or reattribute such 3 contribution. See AO 1992-15 (Russo for Congress Committee) at 2; see also The Campaign Guide for Congressional Candidate and Committees (April 2008) at 18. After a primary loss, 4 5 contributors no longer have a separate contribution limit for the general election. AO 2003-18 6 (Smith) at 3, AO 1986-17 (Green) at 4. Redesignation of general election contributions may 7 only occur to the extent that the amount redesignated does not exceed the contributor's 8 contribution limit for the primary and the amounts redesignated do not exceed the net debts 9 outstanding from the primary. See 11 C.F.R. §§ 110.1(b)(5)(iii) and (b)(3)(i), 110.2(b)(5)(iii) 10 and (b)(3)(i); see also AO 1992-15 at 2. A committee's net debts outstanding are calculated, in 11 relevant part, based on the total amount of debts and obligations incurred for an election, less the 12 total cash on hand available to pay the debts and obligations, and any amounts owed to the 13 committee. 11 C.F.R. § 110.1(b)(3)(ii). If a committee deposits contributions that exceed its net debts outstanding, it must, within 60 days of accepting the excessive contributions, refund, 14 15 redesignate, or reattribute the excessive contributions. 11 C.F.R. §§ 110.1(b)(3)(i), 110.2(b)(3)(i), see also 11 C.F.R. §§ 110.1(b)(5) and 110.1(k)(3). Likewise, reattribution of a 16 general election contribution may only occur to the extent that such attribution does not exceed 17 18 the contributor's contribution limits. See 11 C.F.R. § 110.1(k)(3)(ii)(B)(1); see also AO 2007-03 19 (Obama) at 3. 20 In this case, the Committee accepted general election contributions totaling \$115,100 21 (\$41.600 from twenty-two individuals, and \$73,500 from twenty-four PACs), but failed to 22 return, refund, reattribute or redesignate the contributions within 60 days of the February 12,

2008, primary loss. See 11 C.F.R. § 102.9(e)(3). The Committee could not redesignate the

MUR 6230
Factual and Legal Analysis
Wynn for Congress
Page 6 of 6

contributions.

20

general election contributions to the 2008 primary election because all of the general election 1 2 contributors had already contributed the maximum amount allowable for the primary election. 3 Moreover, reattribution of the Committee's general election contributions would not remedy the 4 Committee's acceptance of a contribution designated for an election in which Wynn was not 5 participating. See 11 C.F.R. § 110.1(k)(3). Therefore, the Committee's only option was to 6 return or refund the general election contributions, which it has not done. Although the 7 Committee informed RAD that it was incapable of returning the contributions, it represented that 8 it would use best efforts to raise funds necessary to reimburse the general election contributions. 9 In its response to the pre-reason to believe notification letter, the Committee indicates that it is unable to raise any additional funds without discussing any efforts it may have made. See 10 11 11 C.F.R. § 102.9(e)(1). The Committee has consistently reported less than \$5,000 cash on hand 12 in its reports following the primary, far less than the \$115,100 necessary to refund the general 13 election contributions. AO 2007-03 (Obama) at 3. 14 Based on the foregoing, the Commission finds reason to believe that Wynn for Congress and Curt Clifton, in his official capacity as treasurer, violated 2 U.S.C. § 441a(f) by knowingly 15 16 accepting \$115.100 in general election contributions from individuals and multicandidate 17 committees that had already contributed the maximum amount allowable for the 2008 primary election, which became excessive as of the date the candidate lost the primary; and 11 C.F.R. 18 19 §§ 102.9(e)(3), 110.1(b)(3)(i), and 110.2(b)(3)(i) by failing to return or refund the excessive